



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/384,932 08/2		08/26/1999	CLAUS TONDERING	09918/024001	8504
20985	7590	01/13/2004		EXAMINER	
FISH & R		-	AVELLINO	AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
	12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2143	17
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	PRA				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/384,932	TONDERING, CLAUS				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INC DATE of this communication com	Joseph E. Avellino	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
THE REPLY FILED 24 December 2003 FAILS TO PLATHEREOF, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	4				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the chave from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mailing date.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of SILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. In the series of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
aarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request to application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	f to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square and	oproved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>—·/</u>)/				
10. Other:						
		DAVID WILEY				
		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100				

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the proposed amendment "indicating a value representing a total amount of current usage of the resource," (claim 1) raises new issues that would require further consideration and a new search..